

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

SUPPLY & DELIVERY OF STATIONERY TO NAMPOWER FOR THREE (3) YEARS

G/ONB/NPWR-23/2021

G/OND/NF WK-23/				-ZJIZUZ I	
1.	Scope of Contract:			PPLY & DELIVERY O R THREE (3) YEARS	F STATIONERY TO NAMPOWER
2.	Procurement Method used:		God	ods	
3.	Date of invitation of Bids		06 th	May 2021	
4.	Closing date for submission of bids		09 July 2021		
5.	Date and place of opening of bids		09 July 2021 NamPower Head Office		
6.	Number of bids received by closing date:		67		
7.	Responsiveness of bids:				
	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT B OPENING N\$ (N		RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/NO)	REASONS WHY THE BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
1.	Kenzi Investments cc			No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
2.	New Success Investments cc			Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
3.	Deme Investment CC			No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
4.	Fairground Investments CC			No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
5.	Brand Excellence Investments CC			No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
6.	Olivetti Investment Group			No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: Copy of owner's ID absent.
7.	Imminent Growth Investment cc			No	Scored 45% for technical evaluation
8.	Dera Investment cc			No	Scored 75% for technical evaluation
9.	Onambula Investment Group			No	Scored 65% for technical evaluation
10	. ABD Consulting Engineers			No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: One of the owners provided an SA passport. Requirement of 51%

Namibian ownership not met.



AA Labadha laasata aat aa	No	Scored 45% for technical
11. Lakadiva Investment cc		evaluation
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
12. Nghilifenanye Investment cc		evaluation (highest technical
12. Tygrimionariye irivesurient se		scores)
13. Gongaleni Investment cc	No	Scored 75% for technical
J. J. G. J.		evaluation
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
		SSC good standing expired and
14. Nu! Nanis Investment cc		invalid, dated 16/04/2021.
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
15. Kakunino Investment cc		 Copy of owner's ID not
13. Nakuliilo ilivestilielit CC		provided.
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document: • ID copy provided not certified.
		 Provided incomplete bid
16. Sharp Design & Printing CC		submission form.
	No	Scored 40% for technical
17. Amutanga Trading Enteprises		evaluation
CC		
18. Africhem Investment CC	No	Scored 50% for technical
To: / Whother Hiveouriert CC		evaluation
19. KKV Investment CC	No	Scored 60% for technical
		evaluation
20. Ogwandje Investment CC	No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
	No	Scored 40% for technical
21. Xfinity Investment CC	INO	evaluation
	No	Did not submit the following
	140	documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
22. Rocson Investment CC		 Copy of owner's ID not
22.1303011 IIIVCSUIICIR OO		provided.
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in the bidding document:
		 Copy of owner's ID not
23. Tekmak Investment		provided.
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
24 Foredove Investment CC		evaluation (highest technical
24. Faradays Investment CC		scores)
25. S & T Engineering &	No	Scored 80% for technical
Construction		evaluation
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
	res	evaluation (highest technical
26. Nangu Line Trading		scores)
		300103)



	Yes	scored 100% for technical
27. RW Investment CC	1.00	evaluation (highest technical
27. RW investment CC		scores)
28. Ultimate Communications /	No	Scored 80% for technical
Investment cc		evaluation
	Vee	and 4000/ for to shring!
	Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical
29. Essential Investments		scores)
	No	Scored 80% for technical
30. DS Technology & Investments	110	evaluation
cc		
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
31. Habassen Trading		evaluation (highest technical
31. Habassen Hauling		scores)
32. Compass Agencies cc	No	Scored 50% for technical
oz. dompass rigenties ee		evaluation
33. Kambare Trading Investments	No	Scored 25% for technical
CC CC		evaluation
	A.I	01000//
34. N - Tee Trading	No	Scored 80% for technical
<u> </u>	NI.	evaluation
35. Atai Investment CC	No	Scored 65% for technical evaluation
		evaluation
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
	163	evaluation (highest technical
36. Bonga Trading CC		scores)
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
07.01.1	. 33	evaluation (highest technical
37. Globemaster Trading		scores)
20 Skandada Tradina	No	Scored 60% for technical
38. Skandada Trading		evaluation
39. Ideal Motors cc	No	Scored 80% for technical
39. Ideal Motors CC		evaluation
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
40. KK Target Trading		evaluation (highest technical
3		scores)
41. Bsquare Investments	No	Scored 30% for technical
	NIa	evaluation
42. Ebbrie Genenis Stationer cc	No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation
	No	Scored 45% for technical
43. Tulitech Investments CC	INU	evaluation
	No	Scored 85% for technical
44. Betu Group Trading cc	INO	evaluation
	No	Scored 60% for technical
45. Eko Tech		evaluation
10.11	No	Scored 45% for technical
46. Hawick Investment CC		evaluation
47 Namile Office Court live as	No	Scored 40% for technical
47. Namib Office Supplies cc		evaluation
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
48. The Kongom Group		•Copies of ID copies of two
<u> </u>		members of the trust not provided.



	No	Scored 35% for technical
49. Qualitrade Investment cc		evaluation
FO Mundiform Enterprises on	No	Scored 80% for technical
50. Muadifam Enterprises cc		evaluation
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
51. Denu Stationers		the bidding document:
		Copy of owner's ID not provided
52. Crown Distributors cc	No	Scored 90% for technical
		evaluation
53. Windhoek Rubber Stamps	No	Scored 50% for technical
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Vaa	evaluation
	Yes	scored 100% for technical
54. Prime Innovative Tech		evaluation (highest technical scores)
	No	Did not submit the following
	140	documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
55 Paskula lavasta art 00		the bidding document:
55. Deekyle Investment CC		Copy of owner's ID not provided
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
		Copy of owner's ID not provided
56. LNB Supplies & Investments		SSC good standing expired,
30. 2.12 3 app. 33 a 33 a		dated 10/05/2021.
57. Ongongo Investment cc	No	Scored 70% for technical
1 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1		evaluation
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in the bidding document:
58. Marone Investment cc		Copy of owner's ID not provided
	No	Copy of one of the owner's ID
59. Edge Investments cc	110	not provided
	No	Scored 50% for technical
60. Ekwakuti Trading cc		evaluation
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the
		requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
		 Copy/ies of shareholders ID's
		not provided.
		Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd
		owned by Bidvest Namibia, no
61. Waltons Namibia (Pty) Ltd		further proof of ownership
, ,,		provided.
62. Kaluanda Trading cc	No	Scored 50% for technical
<u> </u>	Mo	evaluation Did not submit the following
	No	Did not submit the following
		documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in
		the bidding document:
		SSC good standing invalid,
63. Salient Investments cc		dated 02/03/2021
LL	I	######################################



64. Green Zone Goods Supply & Transportation cc	No	Did not submit the following documents or meet the requirements as was detailed in the bidding document: • AA compliance certificate expired, dated 01 June 2020 and is valid for 12 months considering the initial closing date of 11 June 2021, the certificate is invalid.
65. Rendez Vous	Yes	scored 100% for technical evaluation (highest technical scores)
66. PTN Trading	No	Scored 60% for technical evaluation
67. Rosebank Investments cc	No	Scored 80% for technical evaluation

1. Bidders selected for award as prequalified service providers:

1.	New Success Investment cc
2.	Nghilifenanye Investment cc
3.	Faradys Investment cc
4.	Nangu Line Trading
5.	RW Investment cc
6.	Essential Investments
7.	Habassen Trading
8.	Bonga Trading cc
9.	Globemaster Trading
10.	KK Target Trading
11.	Prime Innovative Tech
12.	Rendez Vous